Table D-6. Improvement Projects at Existing Schools by County

Number and Estimated Cost--Five-year Period July 2002 through June 2007*

Number and Estimated CostFive-year Period July 2002 through June 20										
	Number of									
	Schools with	Total Estimated	Percent of	Per						
County	Projects	Cost	Total Cost	Capita						
Anderson	11	\$ 9,897,872	0.5%	\$ 139						
Bedford	2	15,165,000	0.8%	\$ 396						
Benton	7	709,164	0.0%	\$ 43						
Bledsoe	3	3,370,000	0.2%	\$ 269						
Blount	18	2,940,000	0.2%	\$ 27						
Bradley	22	31,725,300	1.6%	\$ 357						
Campbell	4	310,000	0.0%	\$ 8						
Cannon	7	10,889,346	0.6%	\$ 841						
Carroll	14	1,630,332	0.1%	\$ 55						
Carter	10	1,187,248	0.1%	\$ 21						
Cheatham	13	577,500	0.0%	\$ 16						
Chester	3	200,000	0.0%	\$ 13						
Claiborne	0	0	0.0%	\$ 0						
Clay	2	4,510,000	0.2%	\$ 570						
Cocke	8	9,348,000	0.5%	\$ 276						
Coffee	19	27,126,700	1.4%	\$ 557						
Crockett	3	300,000	0.0%	\$ 21						
Cumberland	6	2,485,000	0.1%	\$ 52						
Davidson	123	233,386,388	11.9%	\$ 413						
Decatur	1	50,000	0.0%	\$ 4						
DeKalb	4	1,353,400	0.1%	\$ 77						
Dickson	3	516,150	0.0%	\$ 12						
Dyer	11	453,981	0.0%	\$ 12						
Fayette	8	266,700	0.0%	\$ 9						
Fentress	7	2,325,000	0.1%	\$ 138						
Franklin	1	1,600,000	0.1%	\$ 40						
Gibson	14	2,381,300	0.1%	\$ 50						
Giles	0	2,301,300	0.0%	\$ 0						
Grainger	6	1,090,000	0.1%	\$ 52						
Greene	22	42,919,550	2.2%	\$ 677						
Grundy	7	7,472,400	0.4%	\$ 523						
Hamblen	20	1,611,556	0.1%	\$ 28						
Hamilton	70	38,979,800	2.0%	\$ 127						
Hancock	0	0	0.0%	\$ 0						
Hardeman	9	720,000	0.0%	\$ 25						
Hardin	8	2,257,600	0.1%	\$ 88						
Hawkins	15	11,397,528	0.6%	\$ 210						
Haywood	4	4,164,000	0.0%	\$ 210						
Henderson	7	' '		\$ 84						
		2,174,000	0.1%							
Henry	6	3,590,000	0.2%	\$ 115 \$ 0						
Hickman	0	0	0.0%							
Houston	2	247,000	0.0%	\$ 31						
Humphreys	6	455,000	0.0%	\$ 25						
Jackson	4	1,163,400	0.1%	\$ 104						
Jefferson	3	510,000	0.0%	\$ 11						
Johnson	4	1,953,332	0.1%	\$ 111						
Knox	86	157,714,150	8.1%	\$ 409						

Table D-6. (continued)

		D-6. (continued)			
	Number of				
	Schools with	Total Estimated	Percent of		Per
County	Projects	Cost	Total Cost	C	apita
Lake	3	256,000	0.0%	\$	33
Lauderdale	0	0	0.0%	\$	0
Lawrence	3	2,400,000	0.1%	\$	60
Lewis	0	0	0.0%	\$	0
Lincoln	1	50,000	0.0%	\$	2
Loudon	9	4,791,000	0.2%	\$	119
McMinn	12	15,038,500	0.8%	\$	302
	8	, ,	0.0%	\$	22
McNairy	8	554,000		\$	
Macon		1,720,000	0.1%		82
Madison	24	6,087,850	0.3%	\$	66
Marion	7	12,231,200	0.6%	\$	441
Marshall	7	1,100,000	0.1%	\$	41
Maury	1	100,000	0.0%	\$	1
Meigs	4	921,000	0.0%	\$	82
Monroe	14	1,827,500	0.1%	\$	46
Montgomery	6	22,844,200	1.2%	\$	169
Moore	0	0	0.0%	\$	0
Morgan	7	6,010,000	0.3%	\$	300
Obion	10	1,875,000	0.1%	\$	58
Overton	5	4,207,592	0.2%	\$	208
Perry	0	0	0.0%	\$	0
Pickett	2	1,095,000	0.1%	\$	217
Polk	6	3,985,000	0.2%	\$	246
Putnam	11	8,039,233	0.4%	\$	127
Rhea	5	4,340,000	0.2%	\$	152
Roane	13	7,066,000	0.4%	\$	136
Robertson	0	0	0.0%	\$	0
Rutherford	34	24,406,138	1.2%	\$	128
Scott	9			\$	
		18,922,851	1.0%		878
Sequatchie	2	2,183,500	0.1%	\$	188
Sevier	22	21,456,916	1.1%	\$	291
Shelby	220	1,026,115,585	52.5%	\$	1,145
Smith	8	541,000	0.0%	\$	30
Stewart	2	80,000	0.0%	\$	6
Sullivan	47	63,311,650	3.2%	\$	414
Sumner	33	10,384,900	0.5%	\$	77
Tipton	13	1,265,632	0.1%	\$	24
Trousdale	2	120,000	0.0%	\$	16
Unicoi	6	1,472,050	0.1%	\$	83
Union	6	1,966,615	0.1%	\$	107
Van Buren	1	440,000	0.0%	\$	80
Warren	10	4,088,800	0.2%	\$	106
Washington	22	10,059,440	0.5%	\$	93
Wayne	7	1,600,000	0.1%	\$	95
Weakley	6	1,230,000	0.1%	\$	36
White	4	915,000	0.0%	\$	39
Williamson	33	12,835,230	0.7%	\$	96
Wilson	10	1,650,000	0.1%	\$	18
Statewide	1,266			\$	
Statewide	1,200	\$ 1,954,708,079	100.0%	Ф	341

Table D-7a. New Public School Construction Projects by County

Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP*
—Five-year Period July 2002 through June 2007**

	-	Total Fatimated	_			ant Day
County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated	Percent of	Percent		ost Per
Bedford	Projects 6	Cost \$ 43,800,000	2.7%	Cost in CIP	\$	apita
Blount	6	81,870,000	5.0%	93.5%	\$	1,143 756
	2			0.0%	\$	139
Bradley		12,348,000	0.8%			
Campbell	<u>4</u> 2	35,000,000	2.1%	0.0%	\$	874
Cannon		20,657,035	1.3%		\$	1,596
Carroll	1	6,200,000	0.4%	100.0%	\$	210
Claiborne	2	36,000,000	2.2%	0.0%	\$	1,194
Clay	1	2,500,000	0.2%		\$	316
Coffee	3	32,375,000	2.0%		\$	665
Crockett	2	7,000,000	0.4%	50.0%	\$	481
Cumberland	2	36,210,000	2.2%	100.0%	\$	753
Davidson	21	150,168,200	9.1%	100.0%	\$	266
Dickson	2	8,000,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$	182
Fayette	1	14,500,000	0.9%	100.0%	\$	475
Franklin	3	50,000,000	3.0%	0.0%	\$	1,257
Gibson	1	8,000,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$	167
Grainger	1	20,000,000	1.2%	0.0%	\$	955
Greene	1	13,500,000	0.8%	0.0%	\$	213
Hamblen	1	25,000,000	1.5%	0.0%	\$	429
Hamilton	1	11,000,000	0.7%	0.0%	\$	36
Henderson	2	7,000,000	0.4%	50.0%	\$	272
Henry	2	21,000,000	1.3%	100.0%	\$	676
Hickman	2	38,000,000	2.3%	0.0%	\$	1,671
Knox	11	128,415,983	7.8%	73.5%	\$	333
Madison	4	25,000,000	1.5%	76.0%	\$	271
Marion	1	12,500,000	0.8%	0.0%	\$	450
Marshall	3	20,800,000	1.3%	0.0%	\$	767
Maury	2	26,233,000	1.6%	0.0%	\$	373
Monroe	2	14,232,000	0.9%	0.0%	\$	357
Montgomery	6	31,105,840	1.9%	100.0%	\$	230
Morgan	2	6,000,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$	300
Obion	1	4,000,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$	124
Overton	1	14,500,000	0.9%	100.0%	\$	718
Polk	1	8,500,000	0.5%			524
Putnam	1	33,000,000	2.0%			522
Rhea	3	12,240,000	0.7%	0.0%	\$	428
Roane	5	16,200,000	1.0%	37.0%		311
Robertson	10	41,900,000	2.5%	100.0%	\$	747
Rutherford	13	201,834,600	12.3%	65.8%	\$	1,061
Scott	2	10,000,000	0.6%	0.0%	э \$	464
	<u>2</u> 5				\$	448
Sevier		33,000,000	2.0%	100.0%		
Shelby	6	40,099,851	2.4%		\$	45
Smith	3	27,476,500	1.7%			1,527
Sullivan	1	300,000	0.0%	100.0%	\$	2

Table D-7a. (continued)

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita
Sumner	7	80,216,585	4.9%	73.5%	\$ 597
Tipton	3	25,000,000	1.5%	32.0%	\$ 472
Trousdale	1	8,500,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 1,157
Warren	1	1,500,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 39
Washington	1	16,000,000	1.0%	0.0%	\$ 148
Williamson	10	118,500,000	7.2%	20.9%	\$ 885
Wilson	1	6,100,000	0.4%	100.0%	\$ 67
Statewide Total	176	\$ 1,643,282,594	100.0%	54.3%	\$ 289

^{*} Capital Improvement Program (CIP).

**Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-7b. New Public School Construction Projects by County and by Stage of Development

Number and Estimated Cost--Five-year Period July 2002 through June 2007*

	Conceptual			<u> </u>	Planning & Design				Construction			
County	Nu	mber		millions]	Nu	mber		millions]	Nur	nber	Cost [in	millions1
Bedford	3		\$ 18.8	42.9%		50.0%	\$ 25.0	57.1%	0	0.0%		0.0%
Blount	3		63.0	77.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	50.0%	18.9	23.0%
Bradley	2	100.0%	12.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Campbell	4	100.0%	35.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cannon	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	20.7	100.0%
Carroll	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	6.2	100.0%
Claiborne	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	36.0	100.0%
Clay	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	2.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Coffee	2	66.7%	24.4	75.3%	1	33.3%	8.0	24.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Crockett	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	7.0	100.0%
Cumberland	2	100.0%	36.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Davidson	12	57.1%	89.4	59.5%	4	19.0%	25.6	17.0%	5	23.8%	35.2	23.4%
Dickson	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	8.0	100.0%
Fayette	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	14.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Franklin	1	33.3%	24.0	48.0%	1	33.3%	3.0	6.0%	1	33.3%	23.0	46.0%
Gibson	1	100.0%	8.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Grainger	1	100.0%	20.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Greene	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	13.5	100.0%
Hamblen	1	100.0%	25.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Hamilton	1	100.0%	11.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Henderson	2	100.0%	7.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Henry	2	100.0%	21.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Hickman	2	100.0%	38.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%		0.0%
Knox	4	36.4%	60.0	46.7%	6	54.5%	53.9	42.0%	1	9.1%	14.5	11.3%
Madison	1	25.0%	6.0	24.0%	3	75.0%	19.0	76.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Marion	1	100.0%	12.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%		0.0%
Marshall	1	33.3%	7.0	33.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	66.7%	13.8	66.3%
Maury	2	100.0%	26.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Monroe	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	14.2	100.0%
Montgomery	2	33.3%	15.5	49.8%	4	66.7%	15.6	50.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Morgan	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	6.0	100.0%
Obion	1	100.0%	4.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Overton	0	0.070	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%		100.0%
Polk	0		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	8.5	100.0%
Putnam	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	33.0	100.0%

Appendix D: Reported Infrastructure Needs by County

				Ta	able D-7	b. (contir	nued)					
		Con	ceptual			Planning	a & Design		Construction			
County	Nur	nber	Cost [in	millions]	Nu	mber	Cost [in	millions]	Nur	nber	Cost [in	millions]
Rhea	3	100.0%	\$ 12.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	\$ 0	0.0%	0	0.0%	\$ 0	0.0%
Roane	1	20.0%	4.0	24.7%	1	20.0%	6.0	37.0%	3	60.0%	6.2	38.3%
Robertson	7	70.0%	27.6	65.9%	1	10.0%	3.3	7.9%	2	20.0%	11.0	26.3%
Rutherford	10	76.9%	147.5	73.1%	1	7.7%	11.5	5.7%	2	15.4%	42.8	21.2%
Scott	2	100.0%	10.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Sevier	4	80.0%	25.7	77.7%	1	20.0%	7.4	22.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Shelby	1	16.7%	9.5	23.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	83.3%	30.6	76.4%
Smith	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	100.0%	27.5	100.0%
Sullivan	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Sumner	3	42.9%	31.7	39.6%	1	14.3%	11.0	13.7%	3	42.9%	37.5	46.7%
Tipton	2	66.7%	17.0	68.0%	1	33.3%	8.0	32.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Trousdale	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	8.5	100.0%
Warren	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Washington	1	100.0%	16.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Williamson	4	40.0%	42.5	35.9%	1	10.0%	8.5	7.2%	5	50.0%	67.5	57.0%
Wilson	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	6.1	100.0%
Statewide	90	51.1%	\$ 908.4	55.3%	32	18.2%	\$ 224.2	13.6%	54	30.7%	\$ 510.7	31.1%

^{*} Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-8a. Non K-12 Education Projects by County

Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP*
—Five-year Period July 2002 through June 2007**

County	Number of	Total Estimated	Percent of	Percent	Cost Per
County	Projects	Cost	Total Cost	Cost in CIP	Capita
Blount	3	\$ 21,120,000	1.4%	0.0%	\$ 195
Bradley	2	340,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 4
Campbell	2	4,500,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 112
Cheatham	1	1,500,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 41
Cumberland	3	9,560,000	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 199
Davidson	15	56,627,408	3.8%	0.0%	\$ 100
Dickson	1	6,610,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 151
Dyer	8	20,870,000	1.4%	0.0%	\$ 562
Franklin	2	8,050,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 202
Grainger	1	850,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 41
Greene	1	495,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 8
Hamblen	10	23,729,000	1.6%	0.0%	\$ 407
Hamilton	14	114,615,000	7.7%	0.0%	\$ 373
Henry	2	1,603,318	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 52
Humphreys	1	20,000,000	1.3%	0.0%	\$ 1,104
Johnson	1	150,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 9
Knox	42	245,556,427	16.5%	0.0%	\$ 637
Lawrence	1	1,400,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 35
Lewis	1	218,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 19
Lincoln	1	5,300,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 168
Madison	8	22,430,000	1.5%	0.0%	\$ 243
Marion	1	200,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 7
Maury	4	25,170,000	1.7%	0.0%	\$ 358
Montgomery	15	90,795,000	6.1%	0.0%	\$ 672
Moore	3	15,405,000	1.0%	0.0%	\$ 2,617
Putnam	6	24,795,700	1.7%	0.0%	\$ 392
Roane	3	3,207,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 62
Rutherford	15	219,232,136	14.8%	0.0%	\$ 1,153
Scott	2	400,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 19
Shelby	28	236,837,440	15.9%	2.1%	\$ 264
Sullivan	9	57,370,000	3.9%	1.9%	\$ 375
Sumner	5	20,675,000	1.4%	0.0%	\$ 154
Tipton	1	5,500,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 104
Trousdale	1	3,870,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 527
Warren	2	102,830,000	6.9%	97.2%	\$ 2,666
Washington	9	24,180,000	1.6%	0.0%	\$ 223
Weakley	8	15,720,000	1.1%	0.0%	\$ 454
Williamson	1	18,330,000	1.2%	0.0%	\$ 137
Regional	7	56,215,234	3.8%	0.0%	\$ 19
Statewide Total	240	\$ 1,486,256,663	100.0%	7.1%	\$ 261

^{*} Capital Improvement Program (CIP).

^{**}Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Appendix D: Reported Infrastructure Needs by County

Table D-8b. Non K-12 Education Projects by County and by Stage of Development

Number and Estimated Cost—Five-year Period July 2002 through June 2007*

		Conceptual				Planning	& Design	gn	Construction			
County	Nu	mber	Cost [in r	nillions]	Nu	mber	Cost [i	n millions]	Nu	mber	Cost [ir	millions]
Blount	3	100.0%	\$ 21.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	\$ 0	0.0%	0	0.0%	\$ 0	0.0%
Bradley	2	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Campbell	1	50.0%	1.0	22.2%	1	50.0%	3.5	77.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cheatham	1	100.0%	1.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cumberland	3	100.0%	9.6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Davidson	15	100.0%	56.6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Dickson	1	100.0%	6.6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Dyer	8	100.0%	20.9	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Franklin	2	100.0%	8.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Grainger	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.9	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Greene	1	100.0%	0.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Hamblen	10	100.0%	23.7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Hamilton	12	85.7%	111.8	97.5%	1	7.1%	1.4	1.2%	1	7.1%	1.5	1.3%
Henry	1	50.0%	1.3	81.3%	1	50.0%	0.3	18.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Humphreys	1	100.0%	20.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Johnson	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Knox	34	81.0%	219.0	89.2%	5	11.9%	24.0	9.8%	3	7.1%	2.5	1.0%
Lawrence	1	100.0%	1.4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Lewis	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Lincoln	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	5.3	100.0%
Madison	8	100.0%	22.4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Marion	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Maury	4	100.0%	25.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Montgomery	14	93.3%	89.8	98.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	6.7%	1.0	1.1%
Moore	3	100.0%	15.4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Putnam	6	100.0%	24.8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Roane	3	100.0%	3.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Rutherford	15	100.0%	219.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Scott	2	100.0%	0.4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Shelby	21	75.0%	207.2	87.5%	3	10.7%	24.7	10.4%	4	14.3%	5.0	2.1%
Sullivan	8	88.9%	56.3	98.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	11.1%	1.1	1.9%
Sumner	5	100.0%	20.7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

Table D-8b. (continued)

		Conceptual			Planning & Design				Construction			
County	Nur	mber	Cost [in r	nillions]	Nu	mber	Cost [i	n millions]	Nu	mber	Cost [ir	millions]
Tipton	1	100.0%	5.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Trousdale	1	100.0%	3.9	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Warren	2	100.0%	102.8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Washington	9	100.0%	24.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Weakley	7	87.5%	13.8	87.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	1.9	12.3%
Williamson	1	100.0%	18.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Regional	7	100.0%	56.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Statewide	215	89.6%	\$ 1,413.0	95.10%	13	5.4%	\$ 54.9	3.7%	12	5.0%	\$ 18.3	1.2%

^{*} Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-9a. School System-wide Needs Projects by County

Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP*
—Five-year Period July 2002 through June 2007**

County	Number of	Total Estimated	Percent of	Percent	Cost Per
	Projects	Cost	Total Cost	Cost in CIP	Capita
Anderson	2	\$ 6,925,000	22.4%	93.9%	\$ 97
Davidson	4	3,780,000	12.2%	97.4%	\$ 7
Fentress	1	1,815,000	5.9%	0.0%	\$ 108
Gibson	2	680,000	2.2%	41.2%	\$ 14
Hamblen	1	400,000	1.3%	100.0%	\$ 7
Henry	1	200,000	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 6
Johnson	1	225,000	0.7%	0.0%	\$ 13
Knox	2	4,450,000	14.4%	0.0%	\$ 12
McMinn	1	250,000	0.8%	0.0%	\$ 5
Madison	1	1,145,000	3.7%	0.0%	\$ 12
Maury	1	5,000,000	16.2%	0.0%	\$ 71
Meigs	1	85,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 8
Roane	1	1,000,000	3.2%	100.0%	\$ 19
Rutherford	1	180,000	0.6%	100.0%	\$ 1
Scott	1	100,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 5
Sequatchie	2	1,100,000	3.6%	0.0%	\$ 95
Sevier	1	200,000	0.6%	100.0%	\$ 3
Sullivan	1	2,500,000	8.1%	100.0%	\$ 16
Van Buren	1	861,000	2.8%	0.0%	\$ 157
Statewide Total	26	\$ 30,896,000	100.0%	47.7%	\$ 5

^{*} Capital Improvement Program (CIP).

^{**}Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-9b. Public School System-wide Projects by County and by Stage of Development

Number and Estimated Cost--Five-year Period July 2002 through June 2007*

	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
County	Nur	nber	Cost [in	millions]	Nu	mber	Cost [ii	n millions]	Nu	mber	Cost [in	millions]
Anderson	2	100.0%	\$ 6.9	100.0%	0	0.0%	\$ 0	0.0%	0	0.0%	\$ 0	0.0%
Davidson	1	25.0%	0.1	2.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	75.0%	3.7	97.4%
Fentress	1	100.0%	1.8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Gibson	2	100.0%	0.7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Hamblen	1	100.0%	0.4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Henry	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Johnson	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Knox	2	100.0%	4.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
McMinn	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Madison	1	100.0%	1.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Maury	1	100.0%	5.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Meigs	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Roane	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1.0	100.0%
Rutherford	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Scott	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Sequatchie	1	50.0%	0.5	45.5%	1	50.0%	0.6	54.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Sevier	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%
Sullivan	1	100.0%	2.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Van Buren	1	100.0%	0.9	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Statewide	19	73.1%	\$ 25.2	81.6%	2	7.7%	\$ 0.8	2.6%	5	19.2%	\$ 4.9	15.8%

^{*} Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-10a. Water and Wastewater Projects by County

Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP*

-Five-vear Period July 2002 through June 2007**

—Five-year Period July 2002 through June 2007**											
County	Number of	Total Estimated	Percent of	Percent	C	ost Per					
County	Projects	Cost		Cost in CIP		Capita					
Anderson	19	\$ 37,938,500	1.3%		\$	531					
Bedford	19	32,615,000	1.1%	0.0%	\$	851					
Benton	4	3,531,000	0.1%	28.3%	\$	213					
Bledsoe	8	10,850,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$	867					
Blount	18	71,787,360	2.4%	50.4%	\$	663					
Bradley	35	14,277,000	0.5%	71.3%	\$	161					
Campbell	14	15,150,000	0.5%	46.8%	\$	378					
Cannon	1	1,000,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$	77					
Carroll	8	3,848,000	0.1%	3.9%	\$	130					
Carter	34	100,070,000	3.4%	58.3%	\$	1,758					
Cheatham	11	14,339,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$	392					
Chester	3	2,350,000	0.1%		\$	150					
Claiborne	14	16,922,375	0.6%		\$	561					
Clay	2	1,150,000	0.0%		\$	145					
Cocke	8	14,435,000	0.5%	9.2%	\$	426					
Coffee	25	29,365,297	1.0%	16.0%	\$	603					
Crockett	4	3,300,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$	227					
Cumberland	6	99,300,000	3.3%	100.0%	\$	2,066					
Davidson	66	427,995,000	14.3%	86.6%	\$	757					
Decatur	6	7,530,000	0.3%	60.4%	\$	644					
DeKalb	9	19,550,000	0.3%		\$	1,114					
Dickson	5	34,540,000	1.2%		φ \$	788					
	4	3,100,000	0.1%	80.6%	\$	84					
Dyer	3		0.1%		Ф \$						
Fayette	2	1,670,000			\$	55					
Fentress		3,250,000	0.1%	100.0%		193					
Franklin	18	32,808,000	1.1%	0.0%	\$	825					
Gibson	10	12,220,108	0.4%	25.4%	\$	254					
Giles	14	23,363,000	0.8%		\$	787					
Grainger	11	15,040,000	0.5%		\$	718					
Greene	19	25,603,000	0.9%		\$	404					
Grundy	13	18,213,000	0.6%		\$	1,275					
Hamblen	8	21,530,000	0.7%	100.0%	\$	369					
Hamilton	20	22,165,000	0.7%	13.1%	\$	72					
Hancock	3	1,803,000	0.1%			266					
Hardeman	10	10,190,000	0.3%	87.7%	\$	359					
Hardin	11	13,073,525	0.4%		\$	507					
Hawkins	22	60,136,450	2.0%		\$	1,106					
Haywood	8	7,065,000	0.2%		\$	358					
Henderson	14	12,923,000	0.4%		\$	502					
Henry	2	2,400,000	0.1%		\$	77					
Hickman	8	7,986,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$	351					
Houston	12	8,695,000	0.3%		\$	1,098					
Humphreys	8	6,875,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$	380					
Jackson	4	6,050,000	0.2%	100.0%	\$	542					
Jefferson	20	19,837,441	0.7%	68.4%	\$	440					
Johnson	17	18,464,200	0.6%		\$	1,047					
Knox	36	134,254,682	4.5%		\$	348					
Lake	4	2,450,000	0.1%	20.4%	\$	316					

Table D-10a. (continued)

Table D-Toa. (Continued)									
County	Number of	Total Estimated	Percent of	Percent	Cost Per Capita				
Lauderdale	Projects 3	Cost 9,065,000	0.3%	Cost in CIP 17.3%	\$	335			
Lawrence	20	28,547,500	1.0%	0.0%	\$	714			
Lewis	4	5,500,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$	481			
Lincoln	23	18,280,000	0.6%	0.0%	\$	578			
Loudon	22	50,696,000	1.7%	72.8%	\$	1,260			
McMinn	19	12,896,600	0.4%	0.0%	\$	259			
McNairy	21	25,290,000	0.4%	73.3%	\$	1,026			
Macon	4	17,575,000	0.6%	100.0%	\$	842			
Madison	59	61,856,550	2.1%	98.7%	\$	670			
Marion	19	20,140,000	0.7%	14.9%	\$	726			
Marshall	36	25,455,000	0.9%	56.6%	\$	939			
Maury	17	27,841,000	0.9%	77.3%	\$	396			
Meigs	5	3,400,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$	304			
Monroe	11	8,536,351	0.1%	0.0%	\$	214			
Montgomery	56	129,645,000	4.3%	89.1%	\$	960			
Moore	3	6,866,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$	1,166			
Morgan	10	18,623,000	0.6%	50.4%	\$	931			
Obion	7	17,700,000	0.6%	2.8%	\$	547			
Overton	1	2,000,000	0.0 %	100.0%	\$	99			
Perry	5	2,890,000	0.1%	0.0%	φ \$	385			
Pickett	1	1,500,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$	297			
Polk	15		0.1%	19.4%	\$ \$	589			
Putnam	9	9,549,250		100.0%	\$	157			
Rhea	10	9,900,000	0.3% 0.4%	0.0%	э \$	375			
Roane	24	10,716,200 36,712,500	1.2%	41.1%	\$	706			
Robertson	19	51,717,000	1.2%	79.1%	\$ \$	922			
Rutherford	46		4.7%	79.1%	Ф \$	736			
Scott	9	139,859,417	0.5%	40.4%	Ф \$	752			
Sequatchie	6	16,214,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$	622			
Sevier	43	7,225,250 90,998,850	3.0%	49.6%	\$ \$	1,235			
Shelby	43 22	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	2.3%	100.0%	\$ \$	75			
Smith	9	67,583,533 10,170,000	0.3%	100.0%	Ф \$	565			
Stewart	9	6,250,000	0.3%	33.2%	\$	494			
Sullivan	57	123,672,356	4.1%	76.2%		809			
	34	72,169,500	2.4%	18.7%		537			
Sumner Tipton	3		0.0%	43.9%	\$ \$	20			
Trousdale	<u>3</u>	1,042,880 9,450,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$	1,287			
Unicoi	20	9,584,875	0.3%	0.0%		541			
Union	20	27,500,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ \$	1,493			
	1		0.9%	100.0%					
Van Buren	11	8,000,000			\$ \$	1,461			
Warren		13,476,000	0.5%	100.0%		349			
Washington	32	112,843,500	3.8%	62.7%	\$	1,041			
Wayne	4	2,250,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$	134			
Weakley	3	11,321,952	0.4%	26.5%	\$	327			
White		22,000,000	0.7%	9.1%		942			
Williamson	67	82,478,390	2.8%	91.4%		616			
Wilson	26	\$5,255,000	2.9%	19.5%	\$	930			
Statewide	1,462	\$ 2,985,252,392	100.0%	59.5%	\$	525			

^{*} Capital Improvement Program (CIP).

^{**}Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Appendix D: Reported Infrastructure Needs by County

Table D-10b. Water and Wastewater Projects by County and by Stage of Development

Number and Estimated Cost--Five-vear Period July 2002 through June 2007*

				natea Cos	stFive-y				une 2007*				
			ceptual				& Design		Construction				
County		mber	Cost [in r			mber		millions]		nber	Cost [in r		
Anderson	4		\$ 5.7	15.0%	5				10	52.6%	\$ 28.8	75.8%	
Bedford	7		14.5	44.5%	10		12.1	37.1%	2	10.5%	6.0	18.5%	
Benton	1	25.0%	0.6	17.0%	2	50.0%	1.3		1	25.0%	1.6	46.2%	
Bledsoe	7	01.070	10.3	94.5%	1	12.5%	0.6		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Blount	4	22.2%	41.7	58.0%	5	27.8%	16.6		9	50.0%	13.5	18.9%	
Bradley	19		7.8	54.3%	15		5.9		1	2.9%	0.6	4.5%	
Campbell	2		1.2	7.7%	8	57.1%	8.8		4	28.6%	5.2	34.3%	
Cannon	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.070	1	100.0%	1.0	100.0%	
Carroll	2		1.1	28.6%	6	75.0%	2.7	71.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Carter	15	44.1%	86.6	86.5%	13	38.2%	5.6	5.6%	6	17.6%	7.9	7.9%	
Cheatham	5	45.5%	2.4	16.5%	1	9.1%	2.0	13.9%	5	45.5%	10.0	69.5%	
Chester	1	33.3%	0.2	8.5%	2	66.7%	2.2	91.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Claiborne	5	35.7%	6.7	39.6%	4	28.6%	1.5	8.7%	5	35.7%	8.7	51.7%	
Clay	2	100.0%	1.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Cocke	4	50.0%	13.2	91.4%	3	37.5%	0.6	4.5%	1	12.5%	0.6	4.1%	
Coffee	13	52.0%	10.6	36.0%	5	20.0%	3.0	10.0%	7	28.0%	15.8	53.9%	
Crockett	2	50.0%	2.8	83.3%	2	50.0%	0.6	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Cumberland	1	16.7%	5.0	5.0%	1	16.7%	40.0	40.3%	4	66.7%	54.3	54.7%	
Davidson	11	16.7%	75.8	17.7%	10	15.2%	39.5	9.2%	45	68.2%	312.7	73.1%	
Decatur	1	16.7%	0.5	6.6%	4	66.7%	6.6	87.0%	1	16.7%	0.5	6.4%	
DeKalb	1	11.1%	0.5	2.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	88.9%	19.1	97.4%	
Dickson	2	40.0%	2.5	7.1%	1	20.0%	1.3	3.8%	2	40.0%	30.8	89.1%	
Dyer	2	50.0%	0.3	8.1%	1	25.0%	2.5	80.6%	1	25.0%	0.4	11.3%	
Fayette	3	100.0%	1.7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Fentress	2	100.0%	3.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Franklin	7	38.9%	21.2	64.7%	8	44.4%	5.7	17.2%	3	16.7%	5.9	18.1%	
Gibson	3	30.0%	1.0	8.4%	6	60.0%	10.6	86.7%	1	10.0%	0.6	4.9%	
Giles	5	35.7%	12.7	54.4%	5	35.7%	8.3	35.3%	4	28.6%	2.4	10.3%	
Grainger	7	63.6%	8.8	58.2%	3	27.3%	6.2	41.5%	1	9.1%	0.1	0.3%	
Greene	9	47.4%	15.8	61.6%	2	10.5%	0.6	2.1%	8	42.1%	9.3	36.2%	
Grundy	7		14.8	81.0%	6	46.2%	3.5	19.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Hamblen	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	37.5%	10.4	48.3%	5	62.5%	11.1	51.7%	
Hamilton	7	35.0%	3.2	14.6%	9		9.8		4	20.0%	9.2	41.3%	
Hancock	0		0	0.0%	2	66.7%	1.3		1	33.3%	0.5	25.8%	
Hardeman	2	20.0%	5.8	56.4%	8	80.0%	4.4		0	0.0%		0.0%	

Table D-10b. (continued)

	Table D-10b. (Continu							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	Conceptual						& Design		Construction				
County	Nu	mber	Cost [in n		Number		Cost [in millions]			nber	Cost [in		
Hardin	4	36.4%	2.8	21.7%	3				4	36.4%	3.0	23.0%	
Hawkins	9	40.9%	45.5	75.6%	9	40.9%	10.6		4	18.2%	4.1	6.8%	
Haywood	3	37.5%	1.2	17.0%	4	50.0%	1.4	19.3%	1	12.5%	4.5	63.7%	
Henderson	4	28.6%	5.1	39.3%	9	64.3%	7.4	57.2%	1	7.1%	0.5	3.5%	
Henry	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	1.7	70.8%	1	50.0%	0.7	29.2%	
Hickman	3	37.5%	1.3	15.8%	4	50.0%	5.2	65.4%	1	12.5%	1.5	18.8%	
Houston	9	75.0%	7.4	85.5%	3	25.0%	1.3	14.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Humphreys	4	50.0%	3.1	45.5%	4	50.0%	3.8	54.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Jackson	3	75.0%	4.8	78.5%	1	25.0%	1.3	21.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Jefferson	7	35.0%	9.3	46.9%	9	45.0%	4.9	24.8%	4	20.0%	5.6	28.3%	
Johnson	10	58.8%	12.3	66.8%	7	41.2%	6.1	33.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Knox	7	19.4%	14.2	10.5%	10	27.8%	24.6	18.4%	19	52.8%	95.5	71.1%	
Lake	3	75.0%	1.3	51.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	1.2	49.0%	
Lauderdale	2	66.7%	7.5	82.7%	1	33.3%	1.6	17.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Lawrence	6	30.0%	17.1	60.0%	11	55.0%	10.9	38.1%	3	15.0%	0.5	1.9%	
Lewis	4	100.0%	5.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Lincoln	15	65.2%	9.6	52.3%	7	30.4%	7.1	39.0%	1	4.3%	1.6	8.8%	
Loudon	8	36.4%	9.6	18.9%	9	40.9%	35.9	70.8%	5	22.7%	5.2	10.2%	
McMinn	10	52.6%	5.9	45.4%	9	47.4%	7.0	54.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
McNairy	12	57.1%	18.7	74.0%	7	33.3%	5.0	19.7%	2	9.5%	1.6	6.3%	
Macon	1	25.0%	10.0	56.9%	1	25.0%	0.1	0.4%	2	50.0%	7.5	42.7%	
Madison	51	86.4%	55.0	88.9%	6	10.2%	5.5	8.9%	2	3.4%	1.4	2.2%	
Marion	11	57.9%	12.7	63.1%	6	31.6%	6.6	32.7%	2	10.5%	0.9	4.2%	
Marshall	11	30.6%	9.5	37.2%	21	58.3%	13.4	52.5%	4	11.1%	2.6	10.3%	
Maury	7	41.2%	6.7	24.0%	7	41.2%	12.3	44.1%	3	17.6%	8.9	31.9%	
Meigs	4	80.0%	2.9	85.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	0.5	14.7%	
Monroe	1	9.1%	0.6	7.0%	8	72.7%	6.9	80.6%	2	18.2%	1.1	12.4%	
Montgomery	12	21.4%	43.3	33.4%	29	51.8%	57.3	44.2%	15	26.8%	29.0	22.4%	
Moore	2	66.7%	6.5	94.7%	1	33.3%	0.4	5.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Morgan	6	60.0%	14.3	76.9%	2	20.0%	2.2	12.1%	2	20.0%	2.1	11.1%	
Obion	6	85.7%	15.7	88.7%	1	14.3%	2.0	11.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Overton	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	2.0	100.0%	
Perry	1	20.0%	1.0	34.6%	2	40.0%	0.8	28.4%	2	40.0%	1.1	37.0%	
Pickett	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0		1	100.0%	1.5	100.0%	
Polk	12	80.0%	7.8	81.9%	2	13.3%	1.1	11.2%	1	6.7%	0.7	6.8%	
Putnam	6		1.4	14.1%	0	0.0%			3	33.3%	8.5		

Table D-10b. (continued)

ĺ		Cond	ceptual			•	& Design		Construction				
County	Nui	mber	Cost [in n	nillions]	Nui	nber	Cost [in	millions]	Nur	nber	Cost [in n	nillions]	
Rhea	7	70.0%	6.9	64.1%	2	20.0%	3.4	31.3%	1	10.0%	0.5	4.7%	
Roane	12	50.0%	17.1	46.6%	8	33.3%	14.2	38.6%	4	16.7%	5.4	14.8%	
Robertson	6	31.6%	28.4	54.9%	9	47.4%	19.1	36.9%	4	21.1%	4.2	8.2%	
Rutherford	14	30.4%	38.6	27.6%	15	32.6%	33.8	24.2%	17	37.0%	67.5	48.2%	
Scott	2	22.2%	1.3	7.7%	4	44.4%	5.5	33.7%	3	33.3%	9.5	58.6%	
Sequatchie	2	33.3%	1.3	18.0%	4	66.7%	5.9	82.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Sevier	27	62.8%	55.5	61.0%	11	25.6%	16.8	18.5%	5	11.6%	18.7	20.5%	
Shelby	4	18.2%	8.7	12.8%	13	59.1%	42.1	62.2%	5	22.7%	16.9	25.0%	
Smith	1	11.1%	0.5	4.9%	2	22.2%	5.5	54.1%	6	66.7%	4.2	41.0%	
Stewart	5	55.6%	2.4	38.4%	4	44.4%	3.9	61.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Sullivan	26	45.6%	25.9	20.9%	13	22.8%	30.5	24.7%	18	31.6%	67.3	54.4%	
Sumner	17	50.0%	47.8	66.3%	9	26.5%	11.7	16.2%	8	23.5%	12.6	17.5%	
Tipton	2	66.7%	1.0	91.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	0.1	8.1%	
Trousdale	5	71.4%	6.0	63.0%	1	14.3%	0.5	5.3%	1	14.3%	3.0	31.7%	
Unicoi	13	65.0%	7.1	74.5%	4	20.0%	2.2	23.0%	3	15.0%	0.2	2.5%	
Union	1	50.0%	27.0	98.2%	1	50.0%	0.5	1.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Van Buren	1	100.0%	8.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Warren	3	27.3%	5.5	41.0%	6	54.5%	6.6	49.2%	2	18.2%	1.3	9.8%	
Washington	16	50.0%	73.8	65.4%	10	31.3%	24.6	21.8%	6	18.8%	14.5	12.8%	
Wayne	1	25.0%	0.6	24.4%	3	75.0%	1.7	75.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Weakley	5	62.5%	2.9	25.4%	1	12.5%	5.0	44.2%	2	25.0%	3.5	30.5%	
White	3	100.0%	22.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Williamson	41	61.2%	39.5	47.8%	15	22.4%	28.6	34.6%	11	16.4%	14.4	17.5%	
Wilson	11	42.3%	33.0	38.7%	4	15.4%	11.0	12.9%	11	42.3%	41.2	48.4%	
Statewide	642	43.9%	\$1,208.8	40.5%	484	33.1%	\$741.9	24.9%	336	23.0%	\$1,034.5	34.7%	

^{*} Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.